arXiv:1304.3736v1 [math.AP] 12 Apr 2013

Results like Strauss and Lions for a class of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and applications

Claudianor O. Alves[*]
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande,
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática e Estatística,
CEP:58429-900, Campina Grande - PB, Brazil
e-mail: coalves@dme.ufcg.edu.br,

Giovany M. Figueiredo[†]
Universidade Federal do Pará,
Faculdade de Matemática,
CEP: 66075-110, Belém - Pa, Brazil
e-mail: giovany@ufpa.br

and

Jefferson A. Santos
Universidade Federal de Campina Grande,
Unidade Acadêmica de Matemática e Estatística,
CEP:58429-900, Campina Grande - PB, Brazil
e-mail: jefferson@dme.ufcg.edu.br

Abstract

The main goal this work is to prove two results like Strauss and Lions for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. After, we use these results for study the existence of solutions for a class of quasilinear problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 35A15, 35H30, 35Q55.
Key words and phrases: Variational Methods, Orlicz-Sobolev space.

1 Introduction

In recent years, a special attention has been given for quasilinear problems like

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) + V(x)a(|u|)u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \\ u \in W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N) \ \text{ with } \ N \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{P}$$

where V, f are continuous functions satisfying some technical conditions and $a : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ is a C^1-function.

In general, the main goal involving this class of problem is to get a solution in the space $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which denotes the Orlicz-Sobolev space obtained by the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with norm

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_A + \|u\|_A.$$

We cite the papers of Bonano, Molica Bisci and Radulescu [4], Cerny [5], Clément, Garcia-Huidobro and Manasevich [6], Donaldon [9], Fuchs and Li [11], Fuchs and Osmolovski [12], Fukagai, Ito and Narukawa [13, 14], Gossez [15], Mihailescu and Raduslescu [17, 18], Mihailescu and Repovs [19], Santos [21] and references therein, where quasilinear problems like (P) have been considered in bounded and unbounded domains of $\mathbb{R}^N$. In some those papers, the authors have mentioned that this class of problem arises in a lot of applications, such as, nonlinear elasticity, plasticity and non-Newtonian fluids.

---

[*] C.O. Alves was partially supported by INCT-MAT, PROCAD, CNPq/Brazil 620150/2008-4 and 303080/2009-4

[†] Supported by CNPq/Brazil 300705/2008-5

One of the most famous methods to get a solution for (P) is the variational method, where the weak solutions for (P) are precisely the critical points of the energy functional $J : W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with (P), given by

$$J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u|) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)A(|u|) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u).$$

In [13], Fukagai, Ito and Narukawa have used the variational method to show the existence of a solution by assuming that function a verifies the following assumptions:

The function $a(t)t$ is increasing in $(0, +\infty)$, that is,

$$(a(t)t)' > 0, \ \ \forall t > 0. \tag{a_1}$$

There exist $l, m \in (1, N)$ such that

$$l \le \frac{a(|t|)t^2}{A(t)} \le m, \ \ \forall t \neq 0, \tag{a_2}$$

where $A(t) = \displaystyle\int_0^{|t|} a(s)s\,ds$, $l \le m < l^*$, $l^* = \dfrac{lN}{N-l}$ and $m^* = \dfrac{mN}{N-m}$.

Using these hypotheses, the authors showed that A is a N-function satisfying the Δ_2 - condition. Moreover, in that paper, it is mentioned some examples of functions A, whose function $a(t) = A'(t)$ for $t \ge 0$ verifies the conditions $(a_1) - (a_2)$. The examples are the following

i) $A(t) = |t|^p$ for $1 < p < N$.

ii) $A(t) = |t|^p + |t|^q$ for $1 < p < q < N$ and $q \in (p, p^*)$ with $p^* = \dfrac{Np}{N-p}$.

iii) $A(t) = (1 + |t|^2)^\gamma - 1$ for $\gamma \in (1, \frac{N}{N-2})$.

iv) $A(t) = |t|^p ln(1 + |t|)$ for $1 < p_0 < p < N - 1$ with $p_0 = \dfrac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4N}}{2}$.

Motivated by [13], more precisely, by hypotheses $(a_1) - (a_2)$ considered on function a, the main goal of the present paper is to prove that results like

Strauss [22] and Lions [16] also hold in the Orlicz-Sobolev $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $A(t) = \int_0^{|t|} a(s)s\,ds$, when the above conditions are assumed on a. Moreover, results of compactness have been proved for domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, which are invariant by group $O(N)$.

It is well known in the literature, that if the energy functional is invariant by rotations, some times it is possible to find radial solutions for (P). In this case, results like Strauss [22] can be an interesting tool. Once that we did not find in the literature a general result type Strauss for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, the first result this article goes in this direction and it has the following statement

`strauss` **Theorem 1.1 A result like Strauss for Orlicz-Sobolev space** *Assume that* $(a_1) - (a_2)$ *hold and let* $v \in W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a radial function. Then*

$$|v(x)| \leq A^{-1}\biggl(\frac{C}{|x|^{N-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[A(|v|) + A(|\nabla v|)]\biggr) \ \ a.e \ in \ \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where A^{-1} *denotes the inverse function of* A *restricts to* $[0, +\infty)$ *and* C *is a positive constant independent of* v.

In the next result, we denote by $W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the subspace of $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ consisting of radial functions and by A_* the conjugate function of A.

`compacidade` **Theorem 1.2** *(***A compactness result for radial functions** *Assume that* $(a_1) - (a_2)$ *hold and let* B *be a N-function verifying*

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{B(t)}{A(t)} = 0 \tag{B_1}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{B(t)}{A_*(t)} = 0. \tag{B_2}$$

Then, the embedding $W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L_B(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *is compact.*

The Theorem 1.2 can be applied, when we intend to prove that some functional satisfies, for example, the well known Palais-Smale condition on the space of the radial functions.

In the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the reader is invited to observe that they are true assuming that $A(t) = \int_0^{|t|} a(s)s\,ds$ is a N-function verifying the

Δ_2 condition. Here, we have used conditions $(a_1)-(a_2)$ in those theorems, because they will assume in the applications that we will show in this article, see Theorem 1.5 below.

Another important results are of the type Lions [16], however we did not find again results this type for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Motivated by this fact, we prove also the following result

`dolions` **Theorem 1.3** *(* **A result type Lions for Orlicz-Sobolev space** *)* *Assume that* $(a_1)-(a_2)$ *hold and let* $(u_n) \subset W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be a bounded sequence such that there exists* $R > 0$ *satisfying*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} A(|u_n|) = 0.$$

Then, for any N-function B *verifying* Δ_2*-condition and*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{B(t)}{A(t)} = 0 \tag{B_1}$$

and

$$\lim_{|t|\to+\infty} \frac{B(t)}{A_*(t)} = 0, \tag{B_2}$$

we have

$$u_n \to 0 \ \ in \ \ L_B(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

The Theorem 1.3 is interesting because it can be used to prove the existence of critical points for energy functional J, when potential V is $\mathbb{Z}^N$- periodic.

Our next result also can use to show some results of compactness involving $W_0^1L_A(\Omega)$, when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an invariant open set by group $O(N)$. Before to state it, we need to fix some definitions and notations. To this end, we follow the spirit of Willem's book [23].

`DEF1` **Definition 1.1** *Let* G *be a subgroup of* $O(N)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $r > 0$. *We define,*

$$m(y, r, G) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \exists g_1, ..., g_n \in G : j \neq k \Rightarrow B_r(g_jy) \cap B_r(g_ky) = \emptyset\}.$$

An open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is said invariant when $g\Omega = \Omega$ for all $g \in G$. An invariant subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is compatible with G if, for some $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{\substack{|y| \to +\infty \\ dist(y,\Omega) \leq r}} m(y, r, G) = +\infty.$$

DEF2 **Definition 1.2** *Let* G *be a subgroup of* $O(N)$ *and let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *a invariant set. The action of* G *on* $W_0^1L_A(\Omega)$ *is defined by*

$$gu(x) = u(g^{-1}x) \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The subspace of invariant functions is defined by

$$W_{0,G}^1L_A(\Omega) = \{u \in W_0^1L_A(\Omega) : gu = u, \ \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

T5 **Theorem 1.4** *(* **A Compactness result involving the group** $O(N)$**.** *) If* Ω *is compatible with* G *and* $(a_1) - (a_2)$ *hold, the embedding*

$$W_{0,G}^1L_A(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_B(\Omega),$$

is compact, for any N-function B *verifying* Δ_2*-condition and*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{B(t)}{A(t)} = 0 \tag{B_1}$$

and

$$\lim_{|t|\to +\infty}\frac{B(t)}{A_*(t)} = 0. \tag{B_2}$$

As an immediate consequence of the last result, we have the following corollary

C1 **Corollary 1.1** *Let* $N_j \geq 2, j = 1, ..., k, \sum_{j=1}^k N_j = N$ *and*

$$G = O(N_1) \times O(N_2) \times .... \times O(N_k).$$

Then, the compact embeddings of Theorem 1.4 occur with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$.

Motivated by above results, we study the existence of solution for some classes of quasilinear problem, by assuming that $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function verifying

$$0 < V_0 = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(x). \tag{V_1}$$

With relation to function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we assume that it is a C^1-function satisfying the properties:

$$\lim_{|t|\to 0}\frac{f(t)}{a(|t|)|t|} = 0 \tag{f_1}$$

and

$$\lim_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{f(t)}{a_*(|t|)|t|}=0, \tag{f_2}$$

where $a_*(t)t$ is such that Sobolev conjugate function A_* of A (see Section 2) is its primitive, that is, $A_*(t)=\int_0^{|t|}a_*(s)s\,ds$.

There exists $\theta>m$ such that

$$0<\theta F(t)=\int_0^t f(s)ds\le tf(t),\ \text{ for all }\ t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{f_3}$$

Our main result involving existence of solution for problem (P) is the following:

`gio2` **Theorem 1.5** *Suppose that* $(f_1)-(f_3)$, $(a_1)-(a_2)$ *and* (V_1) *hold. Moreover, assume that one of the following conditions hold:*

i) V *is a radial function, that is,*

$$V(x)=V(|x|),\quad \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^N,$$

or

ii) V *is a* $\mathbb{Z}^N$ *periodic function, that is,*

$$V(x+y)=V(x)\ \ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^N\ \ \text{and}\ \forall y\in\mathbb{Z}^N.$$

Then, problem (P) *has a nontrivial solution.*

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review some proprieties involving Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. In Section 3, we prove the Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. In Section 4 is made the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2 A brief review about N-function and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

In this section, we recall some properties involving the Orlicz and Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. The reader can find more properties involving these spaces in the books of Adams and Fournier [1], Adams and Hedberg [2], Donaldson and Trundiger [10], Fuchs and Osmolovski [12] and O'Neill [20].

First of all, we recall that a continuous function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ is a N-function if:

a) Φ is convex.

b) $\Phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$.

c $\dfrac{\Phi(t)}{t} \overset{t\to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and $\dfrac{\Phi(t)}{t} \overset{t\to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$.

d Φ is even.

In what follows, we say that a N-function Φ verifies the Δ_2-condition if

$$\Phi(2t) \leq \Phi(t), \ \ \forall\ t \geq 0$$

for some constant $K > 0$. This condition can be rewritten of the following way: For each $s > 0$, there exists $M_s > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(st) \leq M_s \Phi(t), \ \ \forall t \geq 0. \tag{Δ_2}$$

Fixed an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a N-function Φ, the Orlicz space $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ is defined. When Φ satisfies Δ_2-condition, the space $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ is the vectorial space of the measurable functions $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_\Omega \Phi(|u|) < \infty.$$

The space $L_\Phi(\Omega)$ endowed with Luxemburg norm, that is, with the norm given by

$$\|u\|_\Phi = \inf\Big\{\alpha > 0 : \int_\Omega \Phi\Big(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\Big) \leq 1\Big\},$$

is a Banach space. The complement function of Φ, denoted by $\widetilde{\Phi}(s)$, is given by the Legendre transformation, that is

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(s) = \max_{t\geq 0}\{st - \Phi(t)\}, \ \ \text{for} \ \ s \geq 0.$$

The functions Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ are complementary each other. Moreover, we have the Young's inequality given by

$$st \leq \Phi(t) + \widetilde{\Phi}(s), \quad \forall t, s \geq 0. \qquad (2.1) \quad \boxed{\texttt{D2}}$$

Using the above inequality, it is possible to prove a Hölder type inequality, that is,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv \right| \leq 2\|u\|_{\Phi}\|v\|_{\widetilde{\Phi}}, \ \forall\ u \in L_{\Phi}(\Omega) \ \text{ and } \ v \in L_{\widetilde{\Phi}}(\Omega). \qquad (2.2) \quad \boxed{\texttt{D3}}$$

Another important function related to function Φ, it is the Sobolev's conjugate function Φ_* of Φ defined by

$$\Phi_*^{-1}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Phi^{-1}(s)}{s^{(N+1)/N}} ds.$$

When $\Phi(t) = |t|^p$ for $1 < p < N$, we have $\Phi_*(t) = p^{*p^*}|t|^{p^*}$, where $p^* = \frac{pN}{N-p}$.

Hereafter, we denote by $W_0^1 L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ the Orlicz-Sobolev space obtained by the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the norm

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_{\Phi} + \|u\|_{\Phi}.$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, we use the symbol $W^1 L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to denote the space $W_0^1 L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

An important property that we must detach is: If Φ and $\widetilde{\Phi}$ verifying Δ_2-condition, the spaces $L_{\Phi}(\Omega)$ and $W^1 L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are reflexive and separable. Moreover, the Δ_2-condition also implies that

$$u_n \to u \ \text{ in } \ L_{\Phi}(\Omega) \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u_n - u|) \to 0 \qquad (2.3) \quad \boxed{\texttt{CV0}}$$

and

$$u_n \to u \ \text{ in } \ W^1 L_{\Phi}(\Omega) \Longleftrightarrow \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|u_n - u|) \to 0 \ \text{ and } \ \int_{\Omega} \Phi(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|) \to 0. \qquad (2.4) \quad \boxed{\texttt{CV1}}$$

In the literature, we find some important embeddings involving the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, for example, it is possible to prove that embedding

$$W^1 L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L_B(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

is continuous, if B is a N-function verifying

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi(t)}<+\infty \ \text{ and } \ \limsup_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi_*(t)}<+\infty.$$

When the space $\mathbb{R}^N$ is replaced by a bounded domain D and the limits below hold

$$\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi(t)}<+\infty \ \text{ and } \ \limsup_{|t|\to+\infty}\frac{B(t)}{\Phi_*(t)}=0, \tag{2.5} \quad \boxed{\texttt{M1}}$$

the embedding

$$W^1L_\Phi(D)\hookrightarrow L_B(D) \tag{2.6} \quad \boxed{\texttt{M2}}$$

is compact.

The next four lemmas involving the functions $A,\widetilde{A}$ and A_* and theirs proofs can be found in [13]. Hereafter, A is the N-function given in introduction and $\widetilde{A}, A_*$ are the complement and conjugate functions of A respectively.

`F0` **Lemma 2.1** *The functions A and $\widetilde{A}$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\widetilde{A}(a(|t|t))\leq A(2t), \ \ \forall t\geq 0. \tag{2.7} \quad \boxed{\texttt{D1}}$$

`F1` **Lemma 2.2** *Assume that $(a_1)-(a_2)$ hold and let $\xi_0(t)=\min\{t^l,t^m\}$, $\xi_1(t)=\max\{t^l,t^m\}$, for all $t\geq 0$. Then,*

$$\xi_0(\rho)A(t)\leq A(\rho t)\leq \xi_1(\rho)A(t), \quad \textit{for } \ \rho,t\geq 0$$

and

$$\xi_0(\|u\|_A)\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}A(|u|)\leq \xi_1(\|u\|_A), \quad \textit{for } \ u\in L_A(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

`F3` **Lemma 2.3** *The function A_* satisfies the following inequality*

$$l^*\leq \frac{a_*(|t|)t^2}{A_*(t)}\leq m^* \ \ \textit{for } \ t\neq 0.$$

As an immediate consequence of the Lemma 2.3, we have the following result

`F2` **Lemma 2.4** *Assume that $(a_1)-(a_2)$ hold and let $\xi_2(t)=\min\{t^{l^*},t^{m^*}\}$, $\xi_3(t)=\max\{t^{l^*},t^{m^*}\}$ for all $t\geq 0$. Then,*

$$\xi_2(\rho)A_*(t)\leq A_*(\rho t)\leq \xi_3(\rho)A_*(t) \quad \textit{for } \ \rho,t\geq 0$$

and

$$\xi_2(\|u\|_{A_*})\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}A_*(|u|)dx\leq \xi_3(\|u\|_{A_*}) \quad \textit{for } \ u\in L_{A_*}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

3 Results like Strauss and Lions for Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

After the above brief review, we are able to prove our main results involving the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Proof of Strauss' Theorem (Theorem 1.1). First of all, we will establish the result for functions in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. After, by density, we establish the result for all radial function in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Consider $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $|x| = r$ and $w(r) = v(x)$. Note that

$$A(w(b)) - A(w(r)) = \int_r^b \left(\frac{d}{ds} A(w) \right) ds \ \ \forall\, b > r > 0.$$

Since $w \in C_0^\infty([0, \infty))$, for b large enough,

$$A(w(r)) = -\int_r^\infty a(|w|)ww' \, ds \leq \int_r^\infty a(|w|)|w||w'| \, ds.$$

Combining (2.1) with (2.7)

$$a(|w|)|w||w'| \leq \widetilde{A}(a(|w|)|w|) + A(|w'|) \leq A(2|w|) + A(|w'|).$$

By Δ_2-condition,

$$a(|w|)|w||w'| \leq KA(|w|) + A(|w'|),$$

therefore,

$$A(w(r)) \leq (K+1) \int_r^\infty [A(|w(s)|) + A(|w'(s)|)] ds$$

loading to

$$A(w(r)) \leq \frac{(K+1)}{r^{N-1}} \int_r^\infty [A(|w(s)|) + A(|w'(s)|)] s^{N-1} ds,$$

from where it follows that there is $C > 0$ such that

$$A(v(x)) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [A(|v|) + A(|\nabla v|)].$$

Since A is a even function, $A(v(x)) = A(|v(x)|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and so,

$$A(|v(x)|) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [A(|v|) + A(|\nabla v|)].$$

From this,

$$|v(x)| \leq A^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{|x|^{N-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [A(|v|) + A(|\nabla v|)] \right) \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

where A^{-1} denotes the inverse function of A restricts to $[0, +\infty)$. Now, the lemma follows of the density of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$. ■

Now, we are able to prove the compactness result involving $W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof of the compacity Theorem (Theorem 1.2). Let $\{u_n\} \subset W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence verifying

$$u_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in} \quad W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From $(B_1) - (B_2)$, for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $q > 1$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$B(t) \leq \epsilon \left(A(t) + A_*(t) \right) + CA(t)^q \ \ \forall t \geq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

B1

Using Theorem 1.1, Lemma 2.2 and the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for each $R > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$A(u_n(x))^q \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{(N-1)}{m}q}} + \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{(N-1)}{l}q}} \right) \quad \text{in } [|x| \geq R] \text{ and } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Choosing q large enough,

$$g(x) = C \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{(N-1)}{m}q}} + \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{(N-1)}{l}q}} \right) \in L^1([|x| > \delta]) \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

The last inequality combined with Lebesgue's Theorem implies that

$$\int_{[|x| \geq R]} A(u_n(x))^q \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

This limit combined with (3.1) leads to

$$\int_{[|x|\geq R]} B(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty. \qquad (3.2) \quad \boxed{\texttt{B2}}$$

Observing that $(B_1)-(B_2)$ implies that $(2.5)-(2.6)$ hold, one have

$$W^1L_A([|x|<R]) \hookrightarrow L_B([|x|<R])$$

is a compact embedding. Hence,

$$\int_{[|x|<R]} B(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty. \qquad (3.3) \quad \boxed{\texttt{B3}}$$

From (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(u_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \;\; n \to \infty,$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Proof of the Lions' Theorem (Theorem 1.3). First of all, we observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|)dx = \int_{[|u_n|>k]} B(\mid u_n \mid)dx + \int_{[|u_n|\leq k]} B(\mid u_n \mid)dx.$$

From (B_2), given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k > 0$ such that

$$B(t) = B(\mid t \mid) \leq \epsilon A_*(\mid t \mid), \;\text{if}\; \mid t \mid > k,$$

which yields

$$\int_{[|u_n|>k]} B(|u_n|)dx \leq \epsilon \int_{[|u_n|>k]} A_*(\mid u_n \mid) \leq \epsilon C,$$

and so,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|) \leq \epsilon C + \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{[|u_n|\leq k]} B(|u_n|)dx.$$

`Claim1` **Claim 3.1** $\limsup\limits_{n\to+\infty}\displaystyle\int_{[|u_n|\leq k]} B(|u_n|)dx = 0$

Using this Claim,

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|)dx \leq \epsilon C,$$

from where it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(\mid u_n \mid)dx = 0$$

and so,

$$u_n \overset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ em } L_B(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Now, we will prove the Claim 3.1. Setting the function

$$v_n(x) = \chi_{[|u_n|\leq k]}(x)u_n(x),$$

it is sufficient to show that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(\mid v_n \mid)dx = 0. \tag{3.4}$$ `Eq.1`

From (Δ_2), there is $M_k > 0$ such that

$$A\left(\left|\frac{v_n}{k}\right|\right) \leq M_k A(|v_n|), \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This combined with Lemma 2.2 asserts

$$\int_{B_R(y)} A(|v_n|) \geq \frac{1}{M_k}\int_{B_R(y)} A\left(\left|\frac{v_n}{k}\right|\right) \geq C\int_{B_R(y)}\left|\frac{v_n}{k}\right|^m,$$

loading to

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{B_R(y)}\left|\frac{v_n}{k}\right|^m = 0.$$

Fixing

$$w_n = \frac{v_n}{k}, (\mid w_n \mid_\infty \leq 1),$$

we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{B_R(y)} |w_n|^m = 0. \tag{3.5}$$ `WN`

Using again (Δ_2), there is $\widehat{M}_k > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(\mid v_n \mid) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B\left(k\frac{\mid v_n \mid}{k}\right) \leq \widehat{M}_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(\mid w_n \mid).$$

Consequently, the limit (3.4) follows if

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(\mid w_n \mid) = 0.$$

Claim2 **Claim 3.2** *For all* $\alpha > 1$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha}) \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Indeed, since $\mid w_n \mid_\infty \leq 1$ and $w_n \in W^1 L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha-1} \mid w_n \mid) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(\mid w_n \mid) < +\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mid \nabla(A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha})) \mid &\leq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha}) \mid w_n \mid^{\alpha} \mid w_n \mid^{\alpha-1} \mid \nabla w_n \mid \\ &\leq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha}) \mid w_n \mid^{\alpha} \mid \nabla w_n \mid < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev embedding,

$$W^{1,1}(B_R(y)) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(B_R(y)).$$

Therefore, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{B_R(y)} A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha})^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \leq C \int_{B_R(y)} \left(\mid \nabla A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha}) \mid + A(\mid w_n \mid^{\alpha})\right).$$

Since by Lemma 2.2,

$$A(\mid t \mid) \geq c_0 \mid t \mid^m, \ \forall t \in [-1, 1],$$

it follows that

$$\left(\int_{B_R(y)} \mid w_n \mid^{\frac{\alpha m N}{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \leq C \int_{B_R(y)} \left(a(\mid w_n \mid) \mid w_n \mid\mid \nabla w_n \mid + A(\mid w_n \mid)\right).$$

Next, let us fix $\alpha > 0$ large enough and $p = \frac{m}{N} + m\alpha$. Thereby,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^p &= \int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^{\frac{m}{N}} | w_n |^{m\alpha} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^m\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \left(\int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^{\frac{m\alpha N}{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}}.\end{aligned}$$

By (3.5),

$$\left(\int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^m\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} < \epsilon,$$

for n large enough and for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{B_R(y)} | w_n |^p \leq \epsilon c_1 \int_{B_R(y)} f_n, \ n \geq n_0 \ \text{ and } \ y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where

$$f_n = a(| w_n |) | w_n || \nabla w_n | + A(| w_n |).$$

Now, we set $\{y_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\mathbb{R}^N = \cup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} B_R(y_j)$ and each point of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in at most κ balls. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} | w_n |^p &\leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{B_R(y_j)} | w_n |^p \leq \epsilon c_1 \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{B_R(y_j)} f_n \\ &\leq \epsilon c \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f_n \chi_{B_R(y_j)} \leq \epsilon c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} f_n \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \chi_{B_R(y_j)} \\ &\leq \epsilon c_1 \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_n.\end{aligned}$$

As $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^1 L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This way, the last inequality gives

$$w_n \overset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ em } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

for p large enough. On the other hand,

$$| w_n |_m^m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} | w_n |^m \leq c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(| w_n |) \leq C, \ n \in \mathbb{N},$$

from where it follows that $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $L^m(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By interpolation,

$$w_n \overset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ and } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N),\ \ q > m,$$

in particular,

$$w_n \overset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ in } L^{l^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } L^{m^*}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.6} \quad \boxed{\texttt{wn}}$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$A_*(t) \leq C(|t|^{m^*} + |t|^{l^*})\ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This combined with (3.6) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_*(|\ w_n\ |) \to 0.$$

From $(B_1) - (B_2)$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ verifying

$$B(|\ t\ |) \leq \epsilon A(|\ t\ |) + C_\epsilon A_*(|\ t\ |),\ \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|\ w_n\ |) &\leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\ w_n\ |) + C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_*(|\ w_n\ |) \\ &\leq \epsilon C + C_\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_*(|\ w_n\ |), \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|\ w_n\ |) \leq \epsilon C\ \ \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

showing that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|\ w_n\ |) = 0,$$

that is,

$$w_n \overset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ em } L_B(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

finishing the proof of lemma. ■

Proof of the compactness theorem involving the group $O(N)$ (see Theorem 1.4)

The proof follows the same arguments used in Willem [23, Theorem 1.24], when $|u_n|^2$ is replaced by $A(|u_n|)$. Here, we will make a sketch of the proof for convenience of the reader.

Let $\{u_n\}$ be an sequence in $W^1_{0,G}L_A(\Omega)$ with

$$u_n \rightharpoonup 0 \;\text{ in }\; W^1_{0,G}L_A(\Omega).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\{u_n\} \subset W^1_0L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by supposing that $u_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega^c$.

From definition of $m(y,r,G)$,

$$\int_{B_r(y)} A(|u_n|) \leq \frac{\sup\limits_{n} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|u_n|)}{m(y,r,G)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \;\text{ and }\; y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Once that Ω is compatible with G, given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $R > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{|y|\geq R} \int_{B_r(y)} A(|u_n|) \leq \epsilon, \;\; \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \qquad (3.7) \quad \boxed{\texttt{W00}}$$

On the other hand, one have

$$B_r(y) \subset B_{R+r}(0) \;\; \forall y \in B_R(0)$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{|y|<R} \int_{B_r(y)} A(|u_n|) \leq \int_{B_{R+r}(0)} A(|u_n|). \qquad (3.8) \quad \boxed{\texttt{W0}}$$

By (2.6),

$$u_n \to 0 \;\text{ in }\; L_A(B_{R+r}(0))$$

that is,

$$\int_{B_{R+r}(0)} A(|u_n|) \to 0. \qquad (3.9) \quad \boxed{\texttt{W1}}$$

Thereby, from (3.8) and (3.9), there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{|y|<R} \int_{B_r(y)} A(|u_n|) \leq \epsilon \;\; \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Hence, from (3.7) and (3.9),

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} A(|u_n|) = 0.$$

Now, the result follows applying the Theorem 1.3. ■

4 Existence of solutions for problem (P)

In this section, we will use the results obtained in the previous section to prove Theorem 1.5. Hereafter, let us denote by $J : W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ the energy functional related to (P) given by

$$J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u|) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)A(|u|) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u).$$

A direct computation shows that $J \in C^1(W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ with

$$J'(u)\phi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla \phi + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)a(|u|)u\phi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\phi,$$

for all $\phi \in W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Our goal is looking for critical of functional J, because their critical points are weak solutions for (P). Next, we will show that J verifies some properties, which hold in both $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and X_r, where

$$X_r = \left\{ u \in W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)\,;\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)A(|u|) < +\infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_r} = \|\nabla u\|_A + \|u\|_{V,A}$$

with

$$\|u\|_{V,A} = \inf\left\{ \alpha > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)A\Big(\frac{|u|}{\alpha}\Big) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In what follows, to avoid repetition, we will use E to denote one of above spaces.

`passo` **Lemma 4.1** *If* $(a_1)-(a_2), (f_1)-(f_2)$ *and* (V_1) *hold, the functional* J *satisfies the following conditions:*
(i) There exist ρ, $\eta > 0$, *such that* $J(u) \geq \eta$, *if* $\|u\| = \rho$.

(ii) For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, $J(t\phi) \to -\infty$ *as* $t \mapsto +\infty$.

Proof. **(i)** From assumptions $(f_1)-(f_2)$, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq f(t)t \leq \epsilon a(|t|)|t|^2 + C_\epsilon a_*(|t|)|t|^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (a_1) and Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$0 \leq f(t)t \leq \epsilon m A(|t|) + C_\epsilon m^* A_*(|t|) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

Using (f_3),

$$0 \leq F(t) \leq \frac{\epsilon m}{\theta} A(|t|) + \widetilde{C} A_*(|t|) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.2}$$

From (4.2) and (V_1),

$$J(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u|) + (V_0 - \frac{\epsilon m}{\theta}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|u|) - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A_*(|u|).$$

Hence, from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4

$$J(u) \geq C_1 \left(\xi_0(\|\nabla u\|_A) + \xi_0(\|u\|_A)\right) - C_2 \xi_3(\|u\|_{A_*}).$$

Choosing $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|u\| = \|\nabla u\|_A + \|u\|_A = \rho < 1 \ \text{ and } \ \|u\|_{A_*} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_A < \rho < 1,$$

we obtain

$$J(u) \geq C_1(\|\nabla u\|_A^m + \|u\|_A^m) - C_2 \|u\|_{A_*}^{l^*},$$

which yields

$$J(u) \geq C_3 \|u\|^m - C_4 \|u\|^{l^*},$$

for some positive constants C_3 and C_4. Since $0 < m < l^*$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$J(u) \geq \eta \ \text{ for all } \ \|u\| = \rho.$$

(ii) From (f_3), there exist $C_5, C_6 > 0$ such that

$$F(t) \geq C_5 |t|^\theta - C_6, \ \text{ for all } \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Fixing $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, the last inequality leads to

$$J(t\phi) \leq \xi_1(t)(\xi_1(\|\nabla \phi\|_A) + \xi_1(\|\phi\|_A)) - C_5 t^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^\theta + C_6 \text{supp}\phi.$$

Thus, for t sufficient large,

$$J(t\phi) \leq t^m(\xi_1(\|\nabla\phi\|_A) + \xi_1(\|\phi\|_A)) - C_5 t^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\phi|^\theta + C_6 \text{supp}\phi.$$

Since $m < \theta$, the result follows. ■

Now, in view of the last lemma, we can apply a version of Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition found in [23] to get a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E$ verifying

$$J(u_n) \to c \ \text{ and } \ J'(u_n) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \tag{4.3}$$ `NPM`

where level c is characterized by

$$c = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \max_{t\in[0,1]} J(\gamma(t)) > 0$$

and $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], E) : J(0) = 0 \text{ and } J(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

`doisitens` **Lemma 4.2** *Let $\{v_n\}$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for J. Then, $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in E.*

Proof. Since $\{v_n\}$ is a $(PS)_d$ sequence for the functional J, there is $C > 0$ such that

$$C(1 + \|v_n\|) \geq J(v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} J'(v_n)v_n, \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (f_3),

$$\begin{aligned} C(1 + \|v_n\|) \ &\geq \ (\frac{\theta - m}{\theta}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla v_n|) + V(x)A(|v_n|) \\ &\geq \ (\frac{\theta - m}{\theta}) A(1) \Big[\xi_0(\|\nabla v_n\|_A) + V_0\xi_0(\|v_n\|_A)\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose for contradiction that, up to a subsequence, $\|v_n\| \to +\infty$. This way, we need to study the following situations:

a) $\|\nabla v_n\|_A \to +\infty$ and $\|v_n\|_A \to +\infty$

b) $\|\nabla v_n\|_A \to +\infty$ and $\|v_n\|_A$ is bounded

c) $\|\nabla v_n\|_A$ is bounded and $\|v_n\|_A \to +\infty$.

In the first case, the Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$C(1+\|v_n\|) \geq C_1 \Big[\|\nabla v_n\|_A^l + V_0 \|v_n\|_A^l \Big] \geq C_2 \|v_n\|^l,$$

for n large enough, which is an absurd.

In case b), we have for n large enough

$$C_3(1+\|\nabla v_n\|_A) \geq C(1+\|v_n\|) \geq C_2 \|\nabla v_n\|_A^l,$$

which is an absurd again. The last case is similar to the case b). ■

Using the fact E is reflexive, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by itself, and $u \in E$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ in } \ E.$$

gradiente **Lemma 4.3** *The sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfies the following limit*

$$\nabla u_n(x) \overset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} \nabla u(x) \ \ a.e \ in \ \mathbb{R}^N.$$

As a consequence, we deduce that u is a critical point for J, that is, $J'(u) = 0$.

Proof. We begin this proof observing that condition (a_1) yields

$$(a(|\, x \,|)x - a(|\, y \,|)y)\,(x-y) > 0, \ \ \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \ \text{ with } \ x \neq y. \qquad (4.4)$$ monot

Given $R > 0$, let us consider $\xi = \xi_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfying

$$0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \xi \equiv 1 \ \text{ in } \ B_R(0) \ \text{ and } \ \operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subset B_{2R}(0).$$

Using the above informations,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ \leq & \int_{B_R(0)} (a(|\, \nabla u_n \,|)\nabla u_n - a(|\, \nabla u \,|)\nabla u)\,(\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \\ & \leq \int_{B_{2R}(0)} (a(|\, \nabla u_n \,|)\nabla u_n - a(|\, \nabla u \,|)\nabla u)\,(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)\xi \qquad (4.5) \\ & = \int_{B_{2R}(0)} a(|\, \nabla u_n \,|)\nabla u_n(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)\xi - \int_{B_{2R}(0)} a(|\, \nabla u \,|)\nabla u(\nabla u_n - \nabla u)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, combining the boundedness of $\{(u_n - u)\xi\}$ in E with the limit $\|J'(u_n)\| = o_n(1)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} o_n(1) \; &= \; \int_{B_{2R}(0)} a(\mid \nabla u_n \mid)\nabla u_n \nabla\left((u_n-u)\xi\right) + \int_{B_{2R}(0)} V(x)a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n(u_n-u)\xi \\ &\quad - \int_{B_{2R}(0)} f(u_n)(u_n-u)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that $\{a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n\}$ is bounded in $L_{\widetilde{A}}(B_{2R}(0))$, because

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \widetilde{A}\left(a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n\right) \leq \int_{B_{2R}(0)} A(2 \mid u_n \mid) \leq \int_{B_{2R}(0)} A(\mid u_n \mid) < +\infty.$$

From this

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{B_{2R}(0)} V(x)a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n(u_n-u)\xi\right| \; &\leq \; \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \mid V(x) \mid\mid a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n \mid |u_n - u| \\ &\leq \; |V|_\infty \parallel a(\mid u_n \mid) \mid u_n \mid\parallel_{\widetilde{A},B_{2R}(0)} \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A,B_{2R}(0)} \\ &\leq \; C_1 \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A,B_{2R}(0)} \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, using again the boundedness of (u_n),

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \widetilde{A}_*(a_*(u_n)u_n)dx \leq \int_{B_{2R}(0)} A_*(2u_n) \leq C_2, \; n \in \mathbb{N},$$

implying that $\{a_*(u_n)u_n\}$ is bounded in $L_{A_*}(B_{2R}(0))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{B_{2R}(0)} f(u_n)(u_n-u)\xi\right| \; &\leq \; \epsilon\Big(\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \mid a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n \mid\mid u_n - u \mid \\ &\qquad + \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \mid a_*(u_n)u_n \mid\mid u_n - u \mid\Big) + c_1 \int_{B_{2R}(0)} \mid u_n - u \mid \\ &\leq \; \epsilon \parallel a(\mid u_n \mid)u_n \parallel_{\widetilde{A},B_{2R}(0)} \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A,B_{2R}(0)} \qquad (4.8) \\ &\quad + \epsilon c_2 \parallel a_*(u_n)u_n \parallel_{\widetilde{A}_*,B_{2R}(0)} \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A_*,B_{2R}(0)} \\ &\quad + c_3 \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A,B_{2R}(0)}, \end{aligned}$$

the boundedness of $\{u_n\}, \{a(u_n)u_n\}$ and $\{a_*(u_n)u_n\}$ in $L_A(B_{2R}(0)), L_{\widetilde{A}}(B_{2R}(0))$ and $L_{\widetilde{A}_*}(B_{2R}(0))$ respectively lead to

$$\left|\int_{B_{2R}(0)} f(u_n)(u_n-u)\xi\right| \leq \epsilon C_4 + c_3 \parallel u_n - u \parallel_{A,B_{2R}(0)} .$$

Now, using the convergence of $\{u_n\}$ to u in $L_A(B_{2R}(0))$,

$$\left|\int_{B_{2R}(0)} f(u_n)(u_n-u)\xi\right| \to 0. \qquad (4.9) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{lim2}}$$

A similar idea can be use to establish the limit

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} a(\mid \nabla u_n \mid)\nabla u_n \nabla\xi\,((u_n-u)) \to 0. \qquad (4.10)$$

Moreover, the weak convergence of $\{u_n\}$ to u in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$ gives

$$\int_{B_{2R}(0)} \xi a(\mid \nabla u \mid)\nabla u(\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \to 0. \qquad (4.11) \qquad \boxed{\texttt{lim3}}$$

From (4.5)-(4.11),

$$\int_{B_R(0)} (a(\mid \nabla u_n \mid)\nabla u_n - a(\mid \nabla u \mid)\nabla u)\,(\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \to 0.$$

Setting $\beta : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$\beta(x) = a(\mid \nabla x \mid)\nabla x,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

the last limit imply that for some subsequence, still denoted by itself,

$$(\beta(\nabla u_n(x)) - \beta(\nabla u(x)))\,(\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)) \to 0 \text{ a.e in } \ B_R(0).$$

Applying a result found in Dal Maso and Murat [7], it follows that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e in } \ B_R(0)$$

for each $R > 0$. As R is arbitrary, there is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$, still denoted by itself, such that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

From this,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u_n|)\nabla u_n \nabla v \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u|)\nabla u \nabla v.$$

for all $v \in E_c = \{v \in E :\ v \text{ has compact support }\}$. Once that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)a(|u_n|)u_n v \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)a(|u|)uv$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)v \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)v,$$

it follows that

$$J'(u)v = 0 \ \ \forall v \in E_c.$$

Now, the lemma follows using the fact that E_c is dense in E. ■

4.1 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We will prove the Theorem 1.5 studying firstly the radial case, and after, the periodic case.

The radial case:

For the radial case, we begin showing the following lemma

ergencia de f

Claim 4.1 *Let* $\{u_n\}$ *the sequence given in (4.3). If* $(f_1)-(f_2)$ *hold, one have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u.$$

Indeed, as $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (A_*(u_n) + A(u_n)) < +\infty.$$

Moreover, by hypotheses $(f_1)-(f_2)$, function $P(t) = f(t)t$ verifies the limit

$$\lim_{|t|\to 0} \frac{P(t)}{A(t)+A_*(t)} = 0 \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{|t|\to +\infty} \frac{P(t)}{A(t)+A_*(t)} = 0.$$

Since

$$u_n(x) \to 0 \ \text{ as } \ |x| \to +\infty, \ \text{ uniformly with respect to } n,$$

it follows from [3, Theorem A.I],

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u$$

proving the claim.

Recalling that $J'(u_n)u_n = o_n(1)$, or equivalently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(x)a(|u_n|)|u_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n + o_n(1)$$

we derive from Claim 4.1

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 + V(x)a(|u_n|)|u_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u.$$

Using the fact that $J'(u)u = 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2+V(x)a(|u_n|)|u_n|^2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2+V(x)a(|u|)|u|^2).$$

Once that

$$\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n(x) \to u(x) \quad \text{a.e in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we conclude that

$$a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 \to a(|\nabla u|)|\nabla u|^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and

$$V(x)a(|u_n|)|u_n|^2 \to V(x)a(|u|)|u|^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

These limits combined with (a_2) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|) \to 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|u_n - u|) \to 0.$$

Hence, by (2.4)

$$u_n \to u \quad \text{in} \quad W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and thus

$$J(u) = c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad J'(u) = 0,$$

showing that u is a critical point of J in $W^1L_{A,rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Now, using a principle of symmetric criticality on Reflexive Banach spaces due to de Morais Filho, Do Ó and Souto [8], we have that u is a critical point of J in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and so, u is a nontrivial solution for problem (P).

The periodic case

By Lemma 4.3, we know that weak limit u of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ given in (4.3) is a critical point for J. If $u \neq 0$, the theorem is proved. However, if $u = 0$, we have the following claim:

`afirmacao2` **Claim 4.2** *There are $R, \alpha > 0$ and a sequence $(y_n) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ verifying*

$$\liminf_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} A(u_n) \geq \alpha > 0. \tag{4.12}$$

In fact, if the above claim does not hold, by using Theorem 1.3, we derive the limit

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} B(|u_n|) \to 0 \tag{4.13}$$ `LW`

for any N-function B satisfying $(B_1)-(B_2)$. Fixing a N-function B satisfying $(B_1) - (B_2)$, it follows from $(f_1) - (f_2)$ that given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \epsilon(A(|u_n|) + A_*(|u_n|)) + C_\epsilon B(|u_n|) \ \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thereby, the above inequality together with (4.13) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n \to 0.$$

Recalling that $J'(u_n)u_n = o_n(1)$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n + o_n(1)$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(|\nabla u_n|)|\nabla u_n|^2 \to 0.$$

The last limit together with (a_2) gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} A(|\nabla u_n|) \to 0,$$

implying that (u_n) converges strongly to zero, leading to $c = 0$, which is an absurd. Thus, the limit (4.12) holds and the claim is proved.

By a routine calculus, we may assume that $(y_n) \subset Z^N$. Now, letting $\overline{u}_n(x) = u_n(x - y_n)$, since V is $\mathbb{Z}^N$-periodic function, one have

$$\|\overline{u}_n\| = \|u_n\|, \ J(\overline{u}_n) = J(u_n) \ \text{ and } \ J'(\overline{u}_n) = 0.$$

Then, there exists $\overline{u}$ such that $\overline{u}_n \rightharpoonup \overline{u}$ weakly in $W^1L_A(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and as before, it follows that $J'(\overline{u}) = 0$. Now, by (4.12),

$$\int_{B_R(0)} A(\overline{u}_n) \geq \alpha > 0$$

which together with the compact embeddings yields

$$\int_{B_R(0)} A(\overline{u}) \geq \alpha > 0$$

showing that $\overline{u} \neq 0$, and thereby, finishing the proof of the Theorem 1.5. ■

References

`adams` [1] A. Adams and J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces, 2nd ed.*, Academic Press, (2003).

`adams2` [2] A. Adams and L.I. Hedberg, *Function spaces and Potential Theory Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 314*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

`bl` [3] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations, I - existence of a ground state* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Analysis, 82(1983), 313-346.

`BBR` [4] G. Bonano, G.Molica Bisci and V. Radulescu, *Quasilinear elliptic non-homogeneous Dirichlet problems through Orlicz-Sobolev spaces,* Nonl. Anal. 75 (2012), 4441-4456.

`Cerny` [5] R. Cerný, *Generalized Moser-Trudinger inequality for unbounded domains and its application* , Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. DOI 10.1007/s00030-011-0143-0.

VGMS [6] Ph. Clément, M. Garcia-Huidobro, R. Manásevich and K. Schmitt, *Mountain pass type solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations,* Calc. Var. 11 (2000), 33-62.

Maso [7] G. Dal Maso and F. Murat, *Almost everywhere convergence of gradients of solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems,* Nonlinear Anal. 31 (1998), 405-412.

DMO [8] D.C. de Morais Filho, J.M. B. do Ó and M.A.S. Souto, *A compactness embedding lemma, a principle of symmetric criticality and applications to elliptic problems,* Proyecciones- Revista Matematica, 19 (2000), 749-760.

Donaldson [9] T.K. Donalson, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces,* J. Diff. Equat. 10 (1971), 507-528.

Donaldson2 [10] T.K. Donaldson and N.S. Trudinger, *Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and imbedding theorems,* J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971) 5275.

Fuchs1 [11] M. Fuchs and G. Li, *Variational inequalities for energy functionals with nonstandard growth conditions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (1998), 405-412.

Fuchs2 [12] M. Fuchs and V. Osmolovski, *Variational integrals on OrliczSobolev spaces. Z.* Anal. Anwendungen 17, 393415 (1998) 6.

Fukagai1 [13] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, *Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinearity on* $\mathbb{R}^N$, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj 49 (2006), 235-267.

Fukagai2 [14] N. Fukagai, M. Ito and K. Narukawa, *Quasilinear elliptic equations with slowly growing principal part and critical Orlicz-Sobolev nonlinear term,* Proc. R. S. Edinburgh 139 A (2009), 73-106.

Gossez [15] J.P. Gossez, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem for equations with rapidly (or slowly) increasing coefficients,* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 163-205.

Lions [16] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. Part II,* Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Anal. Non Linéaire 1 (1984), 223283.

MR1 [17] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, *Nonhomogeneous Neumann problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008), 401-406.

MR2 [18] M. Mihailescu and V. Radulescu, *Existence and multiplicity of solutions for a quasilinear nonhomogeneous problems: An Orlicz-Sobolev space setting*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007), 416-432.

MD [19] M. Mihailescu and D. Repovs, *Multiple solutions for a nonlinear and non-homogeneous problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces,* Appl. Math. Comput. 217 (2011), 6624-6632.

Oneill [20] R. O'Neill, *Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965) 300328.

tesedojefferson [21] J. A. Santos, *Equações quasilineares multivalentes*, Doctor dissertation, UNB (2011).

Strauss [22] W.A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions,* Comm. Math. Phys 55(1977), 149-162.

Willem [23] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorem*, Birkhäuser, 1996.